



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,509	05/16/2007	Richard Michael Wyn Harran	GB920040005US1	6651
76046	7590	07/07/2009	EXAMINER	
KUNZLER & MCKENZIE			VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R	
8 EAST BROADWAY				
SUITE 600				
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/598,509	Applicant(s) HARRAN ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	Art Unit 2431	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN. (3) David McKenzie.

(2) Syed Zia. (4) Rick Nordgren.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 44.

Identification of prior art discussed: Parisien et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 44. With respect to claim 1, we talked about the limitation concerning generating a new key with respect to idleness. Examiner believes Parisien taught this limitation. With respect to claim 44, we discussed the limitation about generating a key based on a data threshold. Examiner stated that the claim amendments do overcome the previous 112 rejections. No other specific agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M. R. V./ Examiner, Art Unit 2431	
---------------------------------------	--